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GLOSSARY

apprenticeship A cultural mode of learning where the child (trainee) is considered to be a novice who participates in cultural activities as performed by parents (teachers) or other experts.

attachment The unique emotional bond that develops between an infant and his or her primary caregivers, the internalization of the mode and quality of these special relationships is supposed to influence the development of later relationships.

collectivism A value system that maximizes and prioritizes harmony and interdependence of the group, especially the family; other terms that are sometimes used to denote this value framework are sociocentrism and communautarianism.

cultural conflict The confrontation of different cultural value systems within an institutional setting (e.g., a school) or a quasi-institutional environment (e.g., a pediatrician's office); in particular, individualist and collectivist value systems have been described as cultural scripts that often specify mutually exclusive choices for individuals' behavior.

cultural learning The processes of verbal interaction, participation in cultural activities, observation, and instruction so as to acquire cultural knowledge.

individualism A value system that maximizes and prioritizes individual choice, independence, and technological mastery.

life stages Functional historical units during ontogeny that can be characterized by universal developmental tasks such as development of attachments during infancy and becoming a productive worker during adulthood (not everyone is a parent); the optimal solution of the universal tasks depends on the sociocultural environment and, therefore, is context and culture specific.

parental ethnocentrism Belief systems that specify values with regard to children, parents, and developmental processes; parental ethnocentrism are shared among members of cultural communities and set the frame for parental behavior.

peer relations The sociomaterial bonds among age mates and between younger children and older children; peers are differentially defined in different cultural environments, being mainly unrelated friends in individualist societies and related children (e.g., siblings, cousins) in collectivist societies.

socialization The process of growing up in a cultural environment; it stresses the active acquisition of information and knowledge of the developing child in the context of a social relational matrix.
Culture can be perceived as external to the individual in terms of artifacts and institutions; internal to the individual in terms of knowledge, skills, and values; and interactive to the individual in terms of social practices and social interactions. The role of culture is formative and should be considered carefully in any examination of human development over the lifespan. Human development can be understood as the lifelong interactive processes of perceiving and experiencing culture, as triggered from the outside, created from the inside, and cocreated with others. Thus, processes of development and processes of culture are intricately intertwined. Although groups of people share culture and negotiate cultural issues jointly, at the same time, culture is a subjective individual process.

1. CONCEPTUAL ISSUES IN CULTURAL LIFESPAN PSYCHOLOGY

This section first presents the ecological approach as informed by cross-cultural methodology. Then, it presents the sociocultural approaches that mainly represent the cultural perspective. Finally, it introduces the developmental pathway approach as a new synthesis that incorporates the ecological and sociocultural approaches and takes the whole lifespan into account.

1.1. The Ecocultural Approach

The ecological approach emphasizes the role of culture in adapting to the environment and derives new ecological concepts and strategies, as well as John and Beatrice Whiting. Berry performed large-scale studies in 21 different sites around the world to analyze how cultural information is transformed into styles of perception and cognition. He demonstrated how parameters of the ecological environment (e.g., spatial layout of the landscape) and the mode of subsistence (e.g., farming, foraging) bear on personality style and field dependence/independence as the boundedness of perception of patterns to the surrounding visual field. The Whiting’s six-culture study, including research sites from the major parts of the world, was designed on the basis of their ecological model linking ecology to modes of subsistence, modes of subsistence to child rearing, child rearing to child personality, and child personality to adult personality. The Whiting’s study examined this developmental trajectory using a set of consistent methods across different cultures. Recent theoretical formulations of the ecological approach have moved research away from the cross-cultural transfer of a set of consistent methods to a more cultural approach with methods and roles of study in each culture. For example, in 1984, Weisner developed a theory in the Whiting’s tradition that considers different aspects of the cultural ecological model such as subsistence patterns, child-rearing behavior, and assigned roles for men, women, and children—all of which affect behavior. Subsequently, in 1997, Herlick and Super applied the ecocultural approach to their concept of the developmental niche. The developmental niche is defined as the physical and social settings in which children live, the customs of child rearing, and the psychology of caregivers. Their approach emphasizes the importance of both conceptual contexts and social interactions within these social dyads and groups influence both cognitive and physical development.

Some researchers, such as Bruner, Tomasetto, and colleagues, and Sweder, have developed a more generalized conceptual approach to cultural psychology. Bruner noted how the structure of language embodies a construct of human action in terms of agency and intentional actions. The mutual understanding between people of these categorical structures in cultural contexts is the foundation of a theory of other minds. It follows that as language develops, so does this mutual understanding of intentional human action. It is the comprehension of other minds that is central to social sharing and, therefore, to culture.

Tomasetto and colleagues, in contrast, emphasized the apprenticeship processes, such as imitation and direct teaching, that enable cultural knowledge to be transmitted from generation to generation. Moreover, they emphasized that cultural learning depends on people’s empathic understanding of other minds. Sweder has developed a notion of cultural transmission as a form of environmental constraints versus cultural preferences. This distinction integrates an ecocultural approach with the values approach described in the next section. Preferences in cultural practices are guided by an individual’s cultural value system. However, the nature of the environment provides constraints to which ideal practices must be adapted.

1.3. Cultural Pathways through Universal Development: A New Synthesis

LeVine was the first to argue that developmental goals guide cultural practices of child care and parenting. He proposed a universal hierarchy of parental goals with survival and health, followed by goals relating to economic independence and finally by goals related to the cultural definition of the personality. Systems of developmental goals and values that guide child rearing and socialization for particular parents or groups of parents are called parental ethnographies of development. Parental ethnographies can also be thought of as a stage in the lifespan development of cultural values. Two particularly salient models, derived from the industrial and social psychologies of Hofstede, Triandis, and Markus and Kitayama, are individualism and collectivism. These two models are considered to represent different cultural value systems. Each model is adaptive in different ecological environments, thereby incorporating the ecological approach. These models are adapted through different kinds of social interactions and emphasizes different kinds of social activities and cultural tools, thereby incorporating the sociocultural approach.

The cultural models are supposed to be linked to the individual’s conception of the self in terms of an independent (cf. autonomous, self-contained, and separate) self linked to individualism and an interdependent orientation (cf. collectivist and relation-oriented) oriented to self linked to collectivism. Accordingly, the primary goal of socialization in the individual model is an autonomous and self-contained, self-fulfilled person who enters into social relationships and responsibilities by personal choice. The primary goal of socialization in the interdependent model is the house of the world to be embedded in a network of relationships with responsibilities to others. Personal achievements are ideally in the service of a collectivity, most important the family. With these cultural goals in mind, many researchers (e.g., Markus and Kitayama 1994) have examined the influence of independent and interdependent goals on parenting styles and child development.

The independent pathway can be characterized as follows. Parents and society socialize children to see themselves as predominantly separate from the social context, that they are unique, and unitary and stable by definition. The tasks of development are for children to express themselves, their uniqueness, and their own goals. The role of others is to provide reflections and direct attention and fosters, and adapted to, large-scale commercial societies with complex technologies.

The interdependent pathway can be characterized as follows. Parents and society socialize children to
see themselves as connected to the social context and the interdependent social variables. The tasks of development are for children to occupy their place in society, to fit in, and to promote the goals of others. The role of others is to provide self-differentiation. This process is facilitated by the intervention of small-scale sub-sistence societies with similar technologies.

There are important developmental changes in both of these orientations over the lifespan and over historical time. From a lifespan perspective, the two path-ways travel through universal developmental nodes with particular issues that must be negotiated at par-ticular points in each person's lifespan. Each issue presents a particular transformation of the basic value orientations.

For example, a universal node of infancy is attachment, which can be negotiated by maximizing independence (e.g., with a separate crib and baby room as cultural practices) or interdependence (e.g., by cosleeping between mother and baby, by carrying the baby on the caregiver's body). However, Shwed's distinction between preferences and constraints would also call attention to the fact that (if a family is living in a small space, ecological constraints might dictate closer sleeping arrangements than their preferred ideal.

Another universal node of development is peer relations. In a culture that prioritizes independence (e.g., mainstream U.S. culture), peer relations focus on separating from the family. In contrast, in cultures that prioritize interdependence, peer relations often focus on relationships with cousins and siblings. Inherent to the pathway approach, with the conception of nodes that organize developmental progress, is the assumption that life trajectories are meaningful, continuous, and cumulative. This implies that the early socialization experiences set the stage for the subsequent developmental tasks during the lifespan. After childhood socialization has resolved in an early con-cept of a more independent or more interdependent self, adolescence constitutes the transition to adult-hood. The independent pathway conceives of adoles-cence as an educational moment with the informal socialization instruction to develop a separate and unique identity. The interdependent pathway conceives of adolescence as a short transition period that is immediately determining one's own family. Accordingly, ages at marriage and birth of the first child differ significantly across these cultural orienta-tions. Adulthood in the interdependent pathway is primarily defined and parenting. For example, in Cameroon, a man is considered an adult only if he or she has children (a badge of adulthood), irrespective of status or rank in the community. Adulthood in the independent pathway is defined apart from legal boundaries, easily through educa-tional attainment and economic independence from the family of origin. Finally, age of marriage is related to the greater integration in the families of offspring and especially the respect and care that is focused on the older generation in the interdependence framework. From the historical perspective, Greenfield in 2000 explored the impact of historically driven eco-logically based on cultural apprenticeship and cognitive representation. Studying two generations of Zinacantec Mayans, he revealed that the historical transition from a sub-sistence ecology to a commercial ecology transformed the apprenticeship from a more interdependent style of cultural learning to a more independent one. At the same time, other cultural products, the fruits of a changing apprenticeship process, moved away from a model of community creativity toward a model of individual self-expression.

Critics of these views often argue that the concep-tion of these two cultural orientations is overly sim-pistic and does not cover the complexity of human psychology within and across cultures. Although every age exists as both an individual and a group member, and although this dualism is part of the evolutionary heritage and is a structured part of each social group, each social group also denotes a com-plex system with its own set of priorities. A system of priorities, by its very nature, includes both kinds of components. It is simply that the independence com-ponents dominate in one kind of system, whereas the interdependence components dominate in the other.

In addition, individualist systems prefer certain forms of social relationships, whereas collectivist systems prefer others. Logically (although less is known about this), individualists systems prefer certain forms of independence, whereas collective cummunity systems prefer others. Adding to the complexity, priorities shift through processes of ecological change and intercultural contact.

Nonetheless, it is heuristic to envision two idealized systems that form two extremes of ecology and values. Each system is not simply a collection of individuals with their individual traits. Instead, each system con-stitutes a deep structure paradigm that generates many characteristics in a multitude of domains and develop-mental periods. Although every culture has its idiosyn-cracies, one must note that these differences play a larger role and are valued more in individualistic cul-tures. Note too that each orientation has its own mode around which individual differences are organized. The two cultural types are structured systems; they are not simply overlapping distributions on a common scale or two. Finally, cultural traits are, by definition, co-constructed and shared among a defined group of people. Consequently, they are less variable than non-cul-tural characteristics.

The nature of development as an individual acqui-sition process precludes the development of uniformity. Thus, even if there is an ideal model picture of person-ality in a cultural community, different real pictures of personality constitute the actual spectrum of the pop-u-lation. Nevertheless, there are obvious differences in developmental pathways that can be captured best by these different value orientations. Indeed, the concept of two paradigmatic pathways allows continuities across developmental pathways to be revealed for the first time. In addition, individuals develop genuine profiles from which they experience themselves as consistent and coherent.

2. DEVELOPMENTAL PATHWAYS IN A MULTICULTURAL SOCIETY: CONSEQUENCES FOR APPLICATION

Intergroup contact is a major source of diverse develop-mental pathways in multicultural societies such as the United States, Canada, and Australia. As conflict- ing ethnotheories of development come into contact, many different combinations of values and practices arise. Often, the developmental pathway of the domi-nant culture tends to be accepted as normal, appro-priate, or even universal, rather than as cultural. Intergroup contact raises ethnic identity awareness, often bringing to the self-identity of racially distinctive groups to the forefront of developmental issues. This generates more complex processes of cultural learn-ing such as those involved in bilingual and bicultural development.

The distinctive patterns of socialization and develop-ment manifest in various groups in the United States and other multicultural societies derive, to a great extent, from cultural variables. Therefore, the specific values that ethnic groups maintain must be understood with respect to ancestral homelands. However, the type of power relation between domi-nant groups and less dominant groups, as well as the interactions among various groups, also mod-els cultural development.

Acknowledging cultural influences as a genuine com-ponent of development also implies that there is not one (normative) conception of health and psychological well-being. Rather, the definition of well-being is relative to overarching developmental goals that vary from culture to culture. The following section highlights the implications of incorporating culture into our understanding of developmental problems and health. It introduces two examples. First, it discusses different views on developmental regulation during infancy. Second, it discusses intercultural misunderstand-ings and their alleviation in the school setting.

2.1. Application of Cultural Pathways During Infancy

As discussed earlier, the early socialization environment reflects cultural values pertaining to highly valued develop-mental goals within specific contexts. During infancy, developmental contexts and parenting practices that support interdependent developmental goals imply a close union between mother and baby. Cosleeping, nur-sing on demand, and constant close body contact constitute the invisible foundation of cultural practices with babies. In this context, parents often meet their infants' needs before such needs are explicitly expressed.

In contrast, in cultures that subscribe to independent developmental goals, developmental contexts and par-enting practices during infancy imply loosely organized early autonomatous functioning. From birth onward, infants are geared to sleep alone and to sleep through the night to establish the circadian rhythm as early as possible. From early on, caregivers orient their babies to the object world to foster the infants' explora-tion and to develop attachments to objects that can potentially replace the caregiver (e.g., security blan-kets, stuffed toys). As a consequence of these different cultural views on closeness and separation, what is regarded as ideal in the interdependent model of the early parent-child relationship (e.g., establishing con-stant bodily contact between baby and mother) is evaluated as pathological through the cultural lenses of the independent developmental pathways.

For multicultural environments, the cultural value systems are the inevitable consequence. These conflicts become especially pertinent when both cultural value systems need to interact. For example, the ideol-ogy of a society's health care system, including the
expressed views of pediatricians, is usually oriented to the values of the majority. When the first Turkish families immigrated into Germany during the 1950s, the common practice of Turkish mothers to swaddle their infants for at least a couple of hours per day was strongly discouraged by pediatricians and health care professionals. They claimed that the infants’ freedom of movement and their possibilities to explore their environments were largely disrupted by the swaddling; that is, the Germans’ independent cultural values did not mirror the interdependent value system of the Turkish immigrants. In this example, the trust of parents in the health care system on which they must rely is deeply affected and constitutes a major risk factor for minority children’s development.

2.2. Applications of Cultural Pathways in Schools

Another loss of conflict for the interaction of diverse cultural value systems is the school environment, including the interactions in school. Research on Asian American and Latino children in the United States indicates that their families maintain interdependent and collectivist cultural orientations, although the sample of students in both groups is more restricted in its expression across the generations (e.g., reserved for family celebrations such as weddings). This reduction across the generations results from a contrasting set of priorities in the individualistic host culture. These indi-
vidualist priorities are especially salient in the institu-
tion of the school, where they are strongly socialized. 

Children and adolescents often experience these divergent value systems as they go between home and school environments in their daily lives. Greenfield, Raffi, and Quiroz analyzed the cultural values and each cultural group in two schools in Los Angeles. In one study, the sample in our school consisted of groups of predominantly European American children. As expected, they valued the positive views of parents, teachers, and children were consonant with each other (the expressed values were primarily indi-

vidualistic) and there was no cultural conflict among the three groups.

In the other school, the population consisted entirely of Latino immigrant families from Mexico and Central America. As expected, the value systems of parents, teachers, and children differed markedly from each other. The parents held mainly interdepen-
dent or sociocentric values, and the teachers held mainly individualistic values. The value systems of the children either were between those of the teachers and the parents or were more similar to those of the teachers. Therefore, the children had to serve incompat-
able parallel developmental goals at the same time (e.g., being obedient and self-effacing at home while being verbally expressive and self-enhancing at school). This type of conflict can produce emotional distress and alienation from either home or school. Although the teachers in both schools were multiculturally, they were not multiculturally. Regardless of their ethnic backgrounds, their education and teacher training had made them highly individualistic in their teaching practices and educational values.

In a subsequent phase of the research, Greenfield, Rojas-Viejo, Flach, and colleagues developed "Bridging Cultures," a series of teacher training workshops to help teachers understand the two developmental pathways and create a bridge between them for students and their families. In this way, it was hoped that the developmental stress caused by the conflict between the two cultural pathways could be ameliorated. Teachers and researchers found that parents partici-

pated more in school activities when their children were less conflicted in their classroom interactions. Another source of developmental stress may emerge from the peer interactions of children with different value systems. In still another study, Suzuki and col-

leagues analyzed the reactions of adolescent girls to praise and criticism on school sports teams in Los Angeles. These authors demonstrated that reactions to praise and criticism differed with respect to self-

enhancement and self-effacement, as predicted by the cultural origins of the families. Asian American and Lati-

no American self-effacing players were part of the rela-
tional system. In conclusion, the theory of cultural pathways through universal development provides an important theoretical framework for real-world application.

3. CONCLUSION

The sociocultural approach to the role of culture in development emphasizes the importance of social interaction and cultural tools as socializing forces in individual development. The sociocultural approach notes that cultural practices that are typical of a particular culture mold behaviors that are adaptive in particular sociocultural niches. The cultural pathways approach organizes cultural differences into two under-

lying paradigms that guide universal developmental tools, such as attachment and peer relations, in dis-


tinctly different directions. Each of these pathways is treated through cultural processes identified by the sociocultural approach, and each is adapted to a dif-

ferent type of sociocultural niche. Most important, each pathway provides a cultural lens for viewing and eval-

uating the social world. Therefore, different cultural frameworks may affect people’s relationships with each other, resulting in conflicts in multiculturistic societies. Many such conflicts could be avoided if understanding of cultural pathways was part of the educational system. In conclusion, the theory of cultural pathways through universal development provides an important theoretical framework for real-world application.
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